

# Progress and Appeals Procedures for Taught Programmes of Study 2017-18

1. [Monitoring of Student Progress and Attendance](#)
2. [Referral to Progress Committee](#)
3. [Composition and Form of Progress Committees](#)
4. [Conduct of Progress Committees](#)
5. [Powers of Progress Committee](#)
6. [Appeals against the Decision of an Executive Dean or his/her deputy or Progress Committee](#)
7. [The timing and Role of the Boards of Examiners](#)
8. [Progress Procedures for Students on Courses with a Year Abroad](#)
9. [Extenuating Circumstances](#)
10. [Procedure for Appeals against the Decisions of Board of Examiners for all Taught Programmes](#)

## To Whom do these Procedures apply?

These procedures apply to all students on taught programmes of study, including the following: students on undergraduate courses; students on University of Essex foundation-year courses taught on campus or away; students on postgraduate taught courses; students studying abroad as part of their degrees.

## Immigration Status

These procedures focus exclusively on Academic progress and appeals; staff involved in consideration of progress matters or appeals should not consider immigration status. However, all outcomes in relation to a student's continued registration at the University are subject to his or her having met the terms of their immigration status where applicable. The immigration status is a secondary decision but may mean that a student cannot legally accept the decision that has been confirmed as part of the Progress and Appeals Procedures.

Where an immigration status is questioned by the evidence being considered by the progress procedures process then the secretary to a panel or, where being considered at a preliminary stage, the department should seek advice from the Compliance Manager or Head of International Services and who will confirm either that (a) there is no issue to consider or (b) refer the matter to the Academic Registrar who shall review the case and advise the Registrar in accordance with 7.22-7.28 in the *General Regulations, Academic Conduct*.

## 1.

### MONITORING OF STUDENT PROGRESS AND ATTENDANCE <sup>1</sup>

- a. Student engagement with their programme of study is primarily measured by attendance and completion of coursework and other assessed work, which are monitored in and by departments. As appropriate and where available, departments will take into account performance in assessed work when considering the impact of unsatisfactory attendance on a student's academic progress.
- b. Unsatisfactory attendance is determined on the basis of unauthorised absence from timetabled teaching event(s).
- c. Heads of Department are responsible for ensuring that an effective means of monitoring students' attendance and completion of assessments is established and maintained in each department in accordance with the requirements set out below.
- d. Departmental procedures including any formally approved variation to this procedure should be communicated to all students taking modules in the Department. This should include what level of non-submission/non-completion of coursework and other assessed work would be addressed at the Preliminary Stage (see 1f) below) and what would be addressed by a meeting with a departmental Progress Officer as the first action (see Secondary Stage 1g) below.

---

<sup>1</sup> There may be professional, regulatory or statutory requirements regarding attendance that have consequences for students beyond those outlined in this procedure.

## **Progress Procedures for Taught Students**

- e. For all taught students, departments should:
  - (i) record and monitor, the attendance of students at all timetabled teaching events.
  - (ii) review regularly the data for all students on their degrees (including joint courses for which they are responsible) including the data for outside options which the student is taking and any compulsory in-session English module, and any available information on assessment due to be completed.
  - (iii) monitor the submission of all coursework and other assessed work.
  - (iv) Keep a record of communications with students when undertaking progress procedures.

### **Preliminary Stage**

- f. Where attendance and/or completion of assessments is unsatisfactory:
  - (i) for all taught students, where there has been no attendance in the monitoring period the student will receive an invitation to a meeting normally with their Personal Tutor.
  - (ii) for undergraduate students, where the level of attendance is unsatisfactory in the monitoring period, the student will receive a communication from their department in the first instance. If, following a further monitoring period, the level of attendance continues to be unsatisfactory, the student will receive an invitation to a meeting, either a group meeting or an individual meeting normally with their Personal Tutor. Discretion may be applied in accordance with the approved guidance, except where the student holds a Tier 4 visa.
  - (iii) for all taught students, as determined by the department (see 1d) above) in the case of some instances of non-submission of assessed work the student will receive an invitation to a meeting normally with their Personal Tutor.

### **Secondary stage**

- g. In the following instances of unsatisfactory attendance and/or completion of assessed work the student will receive an invitation to a meeting with either their Personal Tutor or equivalent or a departmental Progress Officer. Discretion may be applied in accordance with the approved guidance in case of i (iii) below, except where a student holds a Tier 4 visa:
  - (i) failure to attend either a group meeting or an individual meeting with a Personal Tutor or equivalent
  - (ii) continued non-attendance following a further one-week monitoring period
  - (iii) continued unsatisfactory level of attendance following a further monitoring period
  - (iv) as determined by the department (see 1.d) above) some instances of non-submission of assessed work will be referred directly to the Progress Officer

### **Referral to the Executive Dean or his/her deputy**

- h. Where a department's efforts to encourage a student to engage with their studies have been unsuccessful and/or where progress measured by the completion of the required assessments is such that the student is unlikely to complete the stage successfully, the Progress Officer should refer the student to the relevant Executive Dean or his/her deputy in the following circumstances:
  - (i) continued non-attendance or unsatisfactory level of attendance, where this suggests the student is unlikely to complete the year successfully
  - (ii) unsatisfactory submission of assessed work to an extent that suggests the student is unlikely to complete the year successfully.
- i. Where a case is referred to the Executive Dean or his/her deputy, he/she may:
  - (i) refer the case to a Progress Committee
  - (ii) decide to take no further action/refer the case back to the department
  - (iii) arrange to meet the student
- j. The Executive Dean or his/her deputy may invite the student to a meeting to discuss their progress. Following this meeting the Executive Dean or his/her deputy may:
  - (i) refer the case to a Progress Committee
  - (ii) permit the student to proceed with or without certain conditions, breach of which would automatically result in the student being referred to a Progress Committee
  - (iii) decide to take no further action
- k. Subsequent occurrences of unsatisfactory attendance/non-submission in the same stage of study, where a student has previously been considered under these procedures, may result in an accelerated route through the stages set out in 1f to 1h above where a department decides that the case should be considered at the next stage in the procedures. A department may take into consideration the previous year's attendance record when advising a student and when deciding whether to call a student to a meeting with the departmental progress officer, however, this information shall not be used to accelerate the steps as set out in the Progress Procedures. If a student does not attend a meeting with the Executive Dean or his/her deputy, and their

attendance and/or submission of coursework has been unsatisfactory, then it will be assumed that they are no longer engaged in the course and they will normally be withdrawn.

- I. Departments operating joint degrees should liaise with the other departments involved to ensure that there is full co-ordination on the monitoring of progress. In the case of multidisciplinary courses the Director of the degree course should liaise with contributing departments.

## **2.**

### **REFERRAL TO PROGRESS COMMITTEE**

- a. If an Executive Dean or his/her deputy refers the case of a student to Progress Committee then the Registry will write to inform the student and will copy the letter to the student's department.
- b. The student should be given adequate time to seek advice and prepare his or her case before the meeting of the Progress Committee. The letter to the student will indicate the reason for the referral to the Progress Committee.
- c. The student will be invited to attend the meeting and may be accompanied by a student of the University, a member of staff of the University or an employee of the Students' Union.
- d. A meeting may proceed in the absence of the student (and their representative) provided that the Chair of the Progress Committee is satisfied that due notice has been given to the student.
- e. A student who is unable to attend the meeting can ask a student of the University, a member of staff of the University or an employee of the Students' Union to attend on his or her behalf. No person can represent the student in his or her absence unless he or she has expressly been asked to do so by the student.
- f. The student will be invited to submit in advance the following documents:
  - (i) a written statement giving any facts or extenuating circumstances (see section 9 of this document) which the student thinks may have affected his or her engagement
  - (ii) documentary evidence to support any extenuating circumstances put forward. If no such documentation is provided, the Progress Committee may place lesser weight on the extenuating circumstances.

## **3.**

### **COMPOSITION AND FORM OF PROGRESS COMMITTEES**

- a. A Progress Committee shall be convened and chaired by an Executive Dean or his/her deputy. The quorum for a Progress Committee is three.
- b. A Progress Committee, for each student considered by that Committee, will normally consist of an Executive Dean or his/her deputy and one other member from outside the student's department, selected by the Executive Dean or his/her deputy together with
  - (i) for undergraduates, normally the Course Director or nominee
  - or
  - (ii) for graduates, the Director of Graduate Studies or his/her nominee.
- c. The member of staff from the student's department should have no previous experience of hearing the progress issue.

## **4.**

### **CONDUCT OF PROGRESS COMMITTEES**

- a. The Progress Committee will consider each case referred by an Executive Dean or his/her deputy.
- b. The Committee should receive papers fully setting out the case. The Head of Department or nominee should be responsible for gathering the required information.
- c. The Progress Committee may take into account performance in any remedial work and tests prescribed for overseas students following a test of proficiency in written and spoken English taken on arrival at the University; the Progress Committee may also take into account failure to attend the module or take the test.
- d. The student should receive copies of all the papers that are presented to Progress Committee, unless the confidentiality of a document precludes showing it to the student, in which case the Executive Dean or his/her deputy may inform the Committee and the student of the existence and general import of the document without divulging the details. The papers will be available to the student when they are available to members of the Progress Committee, normally in advance of the meeting.

- e. When the student is accompanied by a student of the University, a member of staff of the University or an employee of the Students' Union, it must be noted that the person is present to act as the student's advocate and for no other reason.
- f. Meetings of Progress Committees will be conducted in accordance with the Order of Proceedings.
- g. The decision of the Progress Committee may be communicated orally to the student at the conclusion of the meeting. Formal notification of the outcome will be sent to the student in every case.

### **Progress Committee: Order of Proceedings**

NOTE:

*This document, which is derived from the Progress Procedures has no formal standing. It is issued to members of Progress Committees and students appearing before them as a guide to the order of proceedings.*

The Proceedings are likely to follow the pattern outlined below, although there may be some variation at the discretion of the Chair.

1. The Chair opens the meeting by introducing himself/herself and establishing the names and functions of those in the room.
2. Check that the student has received the details of the case and any supporting documentation.
3. Explain the order of proceedings to the student.
4. Outline the case for referral to Progress Committee.
5. Invite the student to put forward a case orally, if he/she wishes to do so.
6. Invite the members of the committee to put questions to the student.
7. Invite the student's representative to put forward any additional statement.
8. Invite the student to respond and state what his/her preferred outcome would be.
9. The student and his/her representative will then be asked to leave the room. The decision of the Progress Committee will be communicated to the student orally either immediately after the meeting, or at another pre-arranged time. Students will be sent written confirmation of the decision of the Progress Committee.

### **Adjournment**

The Committee may adjourn:

- a. In order to enable the student or the student's representative to be present;
- b. Where this is necessary to obtain further information.

The Committee shall meet to consider an adjourned case as soon as it is feasible and not later than two months after the adjournment, although the case need not be determined at the resumed meeting. If necessary, the Executive Dean or his/her deputy may co-opt additional members to replace any member not able to attend the reconvened meeting, including a new Chair. If there are two new members, the reconvened meeting shall proceed as a new hearing.

If there is one new member, the student may request that the meeting proceed as a new hearing.

## **5.**

### **POWERS OF PROGRESS COMMITTEE**

- a. After consideration of the case, the Progress Committee will make one of the following decisions:
  - (i) that the student be permitted to proceed, with or without specific conditions
  - (ii) that the student be permitted to proceed with a suspended withdrawal, with the withdrawal taking effect if the student's engagement continues to be unsatisfactory within a specified period
  - (iii) that the student be required to withdraw permanently.
- b. In certain circumstances the Progress Committee may deem it appropriate to:
  - (i) permit the student to repeat an appropriate period of study, including all or part of a period of study abroad
  - (ii) permit the student to transfer to another appropriate degree course
  - (iii) require the student to intermit for a period of time before proceeding
- c. Progress Committee may also attach such conditions as seem likely to assist the future progress of the student.

## 6.

### **APPEALS AGAINST THE DECISION OF AN EXECUTIVE DEAN OR HIS/HER DEPUTY OR PROGRESS COMMITTEE**

A student who wishes to appeal against the decision of an Executive Dean or his/her deputy or a Progress Committee must do by submitting a Progress Appeal Form to the Academic Registrar, stating fully the grounds of the appeal, within ten working days on which the student was confirmed to the student in writing.. Students are strongly encouraged to contact SU Advice for advice and guidance before submitting an appeal. If the student can show that circumstances beyond his or her control prevented this time limit being adhered to and that injustice would result from adhering to it, the Academic Registrar may extend the time limit in which an appeal may be lodged normally up to the period of twenty working days from the date on which the final decision was confirmed to the student in writing.

- a. The grounds on which a student may appeal are:
  - (i) that there were procedural irregularities in the arrangements for the meeting with the Executive Dean or his/her deputy or conduct of the Progress Committee (including alleged administrative error) of such a nature as to cause reasonable doubt as to whether the outcome might have been different had they not occurred;
  - (ii) that there was evidence of extenuating circumstances which could not reasonably have been made available to the Executive Dean or his/her deputy or Progress Committee, of such a nature as to cause reasonable doubt as to whether the result might have been different had they not occurred.
- b. Any appeal that meets the criteria stated above (a.1 and a.ii) will be referred to an Executive Dean (or his/her Deputy) who has no previous involvement with the case, hereafter referred to as the Appointed Dean who may consult such persons as he or she thinks fit, including the appellant, in arriving at a decision as to whether or not the appeal is well-founded.
- c. If the Appointed Dean decides that the appeal is not well-founded, he or she shall inform the student in writing, stating his/her reasons for so deciding. The communication of this decision shall, in such cases, constitute the formal dismissal of the appeal.
- d. If the Appointed Dean decides that the appeal is well-founded then the case shall be referred to a Progress Appeal Panel consisting of the Appointed Dean and two members of staff from outside the student's department who have no connection with the case.
- e. The student shall be invited to attend the meeting of the Progress Appeals Panel and may be accompanied by a student of the University, a member of staff of the University, or an employee of the Students' Union.
- f. If the student is unable to attend the meeting of the Progress Appeal Panel, the meeting will go ahead and the decisions taken will be valid.
- g. The student and the members of the Progress Appeal Panel will have the papers that were made available to the original Executive Dean or his/her deputy/Progress Committee, together with the student's written statement of the appeal, and any documentary evidence to support any extenuating circumstances put forward. It will be open to the Progress Appeal Committee to call such witnesses as it thinks fit. The Executive Dean or his/her deputy who took the decision or a representative of the original committee (normally the Chair) will normally be required to appear before the Progress Appeal Panel.
- h. After consideration of the case the Progress Appeal Panel shall either dismiss the appeal or decide on one of the courses of action defined under the Powers of Progress Committees listed in section 5 of this document.
- i. The decision of the Progress Appeal Panel may be communicated orally to the student at the conclusion of the meeting. Written notification of the outcome will be sent to the student in every case.
- j. Any appeal following the formal conclusion of the appeals procedures set out above may be made on the grounds of procedural irregularities in the appeals process only. A student who wishes to appeal against the outcome of these procedures should write to the Academic Registrar (or nominee) within twenty working days setting out in detail the nature of the evidence to support the claim that there were procedural irregularities in the appeals process. If *prima facie* there is evidence to support the claim then the case will be reviewed by a Pro-Vice-Chancellor. If the Pro-Vice-Chancellor determines that there were procedural irregularities in the appeals process then the case will be referred to an appeals panel for consideration, and paragraphs e-j above will apply. The panel would be comprised of academic staff with no previous involvement in the case and would be chaired by an appropriate member of senior academic staff.



- k. The Office of the Independent Adjudicator for Higher Education (OIA) provides an independent scheme for the review of student complaints or appeals. When the University's internal procedures for dealing with complaints and appeals have been exhausted, the University will issue a Completion of Procedures letter. Students wishing to avail themselves of the opportunity of an independent review by the OIA must submit their application to the OIA within twelve months of the issue of the Completion of Procedures letter. Full details of the scheme are available on request and will be enclosed with the Completion of Procedures.

## 7.

### THE TIMING AND ROLE OF THE BOARDS OF EXAMINERS

- a. There are University Rules of Assessment that set out what constitutes passing for each stage of study and eligibility for an overall award.
- b. A Board of Examiners' meeting will be held after the main summer examination period and after the resit examination period in September. However, for some courses it may be necessary to hold Board of Examiners' meetings at other points during the year.
- c. The Board of Examiners sees the marks of each student and in the light of these marks, and any other relevant information, makes a decision about the student's progress in accordance with the Rules of Assessment.
- d. The Board of Examiners shall consider matters of extenuating circumstances. If the extenuating circumstances are of such a nature that a final decision cannot be reached without further investigation then the Board of Examiners should refer the case to the Executive Dean or his/her deputy and empower the Executive Dean or his/her deputy to act on its behalf within the terms of the Rules of Assessment.
- e. A student may appeal against the decision of a Board of Examiners in accordance with the procedures set out in section 10 of this document.

## 8.

### PROGRESS PROCEDURES FOR STUDENTS ON COURSES WITH A YEAR ABROAD

- a. All courses for which the Year Abroad comprises part of the assessment for the degree must convene a meeting of a Board of Examiners following the year spent abroad and prior to the start of the next academic year. The Board will ratify the Year Abroad marks, consider extenuating circumstances affecting the year abroad work and make decisions about the students' progress in accordance with the published Rules of Assessment.
- b. It is appropriate for one joint meeting to be convened to consider all courses with a Year Abroad. The meeting shall be chaired by an Executive Dean or his/her deputy. Membership shall comprise one representative from the relevant departments. The quorum, including the Chair, shall be four. External Examiners are not expected to attend these meetings.

## 9.

### EXTENUATING CIRCUMSTANCES

- a. Extenuating circumstances are formally defined as: 'circumstances beyond the student's control which cause the student to perform less well in his or her coursework or examinations than he or she might otherwise have been expected to do (on the basis of other work). In general, extenuating circumstances will be of a medical or personal nature affecting the student for any significant period of time and/or during the examination period.'
- b. As a result of the policy on the late submission of coursework, the University-wide *Extenuating Submission of Coursework Policy* will be applied in cases where students are unable to submit coursework by the deadline as a result of acceptable extenuating circumstances. Extenuating circumstances in relation to the late submission of coursework are formally defined as: the inability to submit work by the deadline (or to attend the in-class test/presentation) due to circumstances beyond the student's control, of a medical, practical or personal nature which affects the student for the period *immediately preceding* the time of the deadline. Genuine emergencies and circumstances which could not reasonably have been expected will be accepted as extenuating.' Full details of the policy can be found at : <https://www1.essex.ac.uk/students/exams-and-coursework/late-submission.aspx>
- c. At the time of examination entry the Notes to Students will remind students of the policy for submitting an Extenuating Circumstances Form, about extenuating circumstances which may have affected work during the year. Students should be warned that failure to submit an

Extenuating Circumstances Form may mean that the circumstances may not be taken into account by the examiners.

- d. If a student informs a member of staff that extenuating circumstances have affected a piece of coursework he or she is submitting, the member of staff should tell the student to submit an Extenuating Circumstances Form, failing which the extenuating circumstances may not be taken into account by the examiners.

## 10.

### **PROCEDURE FOR APPEALS AGAINST THE DECISIONS OF BOARD OF EXAMINERS FOR ALL TAUGHT PROGRAMMES**

- a. A student who wishes to appeal against the decision of a Board of Examiners must do so within ten working days of the publication of their results by submitting an Academic Appeal Form, stating fully and precisely the grounds for appeal.
- b. If the student can show that circumstances beyond his or her control prevented this time limit being adhered to and that injustice would result from adhering to it, the Academic Registrar or nominee may extend the time limit in which an appeal may be lodged, normally up to the period of twenty working days from the date on which the notification of the decision was sent.
- c. Students are strongly encouraged to contact SU Advice for advice and guidance before completing the form.
- d. Any other officer of the University who receives a formal appeal from a student concerning his/her result shall forward it to the Academic Registrar or nominee.
- e. The Academic Registrar or nominee, will acknowledge receipt of the appeal within five working days.

### **Consultation with an Executive Dean or his/her deputy**

- f. A student appealing against a progress decision of a Board of Examiners (ie requirements to withdraw permanently, complete reassessment or repeat a period of study) will have their appeal considered by the Executive Dean or his/her Deputy of the relevant Faculty, hereafter referred to as the Appointed Dean, in the first instance in accordance with the following procedure:
  - (i) The Appointed Dean will take the actions described under these procedures whether or not the Appointed Dean is Chair of the Board of Examiners responsible for the decision against which the student is appealing. The Appointed Dean or his/her deputy has the power to take action on behalf of the Board of Examiners to change the original decision if the student presents appropriate new evidence to support his or her case.
  - (ii) The Appointed Dean may wish to consult members of the Board of Examiners or other members of academic staff before reaching a final decision. The Appointed Dean will contact the student if any additional information or evidence is required from the student. The Appointed Dean will then decide whether or not to change the original decision of the Board of Examiners and will inform the student accordingly. If, after consultation with the Appointed Dean, the student still wishes for their appeal to be considered by an Appeals Officer they will be asked to confirm this in writing and their appeal will be escalated in accordance with the procedure set out below.

### **Formal Appeals**

- g. The main legitimate grounds for appeal are the following:
  - (i) extenuating circumstances of which the Board of Examiners was unaware and of which the student could not reasonably have been expected to inform the Board of Examiners in advance, of such a nature as to cause reasonable doubt as to whether the result might have been different had they not occurred.
  - (ii) procedural irregularities in the conduct of the Board of Examiners (including alleged administrative error) of such a nature as to cause reasonable doubt as to whether the result might have been different had they not occurred.
- h. Other grounds will be considered on their merits.
- i. The following are not considered legitimate grounds on which to appeal, and any appeals based exclusively on one or more of these grounds will be rejected automatically:
  - (i) disagreement with a mark or grade and/or appeals against the academic judgement of internal or external examiners. Coursework and examinations cannot be remarked, except in cases of procedural irregularities.

- (ii) any provisional mark or informal assessment of the student's work by a member of staff that is not the final mark approved by the Board of Examiners.
  - (iii) the retrospective reporting of extenuating circumstances which a student might reasonably have been expected to disclose to the Board of Examiners before their meeting.
  - (iv) appeals against the judgement of the Board of Examiners in assessing the significance of extenuating circumstances, and whether and to what extent they affected academic performance.
  - (v) marginal failure to attain a higher class of degree.
  - (vi) appeals where the grounds of complaint concern the inadequacy of teaching or other arrangements during the period of study; such complaints must be raised, in writing, before the examination board meets.
- j. The Academic Registrar or nominee will refer to the Appeals Officer any appeal that meets the criteria stated above (g and h).
  - k. Any such appeal will be considered by the Appeals Officer, who may consult such persons as he/she thinks fit, including the student who has lodged the appeal, in arriving at a decision as to whether or not the appeal is well-founded.
  - l. The Appeals Officer will conduct the investigation as quickly as possible but, particularly during the summer vacation, there may be unavoidable delays. The Academic Registrar or nominee will write to the student within 30 days of receipt about the progress of the appeal and will let the student know when he or she can expect to receive a decision.

### **The Appeals Officer dismisses the appeal**

- m. If the Appeals Officer decides that there are not sufficient *prima facie* grounds for putting the case to the Board of Examiners, the Academic Registrar or nominee will inform the student in writing, stating the reasons for the decision. The communication of this decision shall, in such cases, constitute the formal dismissal of the appeal.
- n. If a student is dissatisfied with the outcome of the appeal, s/he may request a review on the following grounds:
  - a. procedural irregularity in the appeals process
  - b. consideration of whether the outcome was reasonable given all the circumstances
  - c. that new material evidence is now available which the student was unable, for valid reasons, to provide earlier in the process.
- o. The review stage will not normally consider the issues afresh or involve further investigation.
- p. In order to request a review of an appeal, students must complete a Request for Review Form and submit it to the Academic Registrar within twenty working days.
- q. The University will then pass the request to the Pro-Vice-Chancellor (Education) or nominee for consideration. If the Pro-Vice-Chancellor upholds the request for a review then the case will be referred to an alternate Appeals Officer for consideration, and paragraphs k-x will apply.

### **The Appeals Officer upholds the appeal**

- r. If the Appeals Officer decides there are sufficient *prima facie* grounds for putting the case to the Board of Examiners, he/she will forward it, together with his/her written comments, to the relevant Executive Dean or his/her deputy/Head of Department. The Academic Registrar will inform the student, and will subsequently inform the student when the Board of Examiners will meet to reconsider the case. On receipt of the appeal and the Appeals Officer's comments, the Executive Dean or his/her deputy/Head of Department shall cause the Board of Examiners responsible for the assessment against which the student has appealed to reconvene and put before the Board the student's submission, the Appeals Officer's comments and any material relevant to the original assessment. The Executive Dean or his/her deputy/Head of Department will then formally ask the Board to review its decision. The Appeals Officer will have the right to attend and to address the meeting of the Board of Examiners.
- s. If the Appeals Officer decides to uphold an appeal by a Second Year student on the grounds of extenuating circumstances of which the Board of Examiners was unaware and of which the student could not reasonably have been expected to inform the Board of Examiners in advance, the Appeals Officer will decide whether it is appropriate to ask the Executive Dean or his/her deputy to reconvene the Board of Examiners. If it is the Appeals Officer's view that the likely outcome of such a meeting would be that the Board of Examiners would decide either that the extenuating circumstances should be carried forward to the final year Board, or that the



extenuating circumstances would not have a material effect on the results, then the Appeals Officer will not ask the Executive Dean or his/her deputy to reconvene the Board. However s/he will ensure that the Executive Dean or his/her deputy is fully apprised of the extenuating circumstances so that they can be placed before the Board of Examiners in the student's final year.

- t. In causing a Board of Examiners to reconvene, the Executive Dean or his/her deputy/Chair may, at his or her discretion, consult by telephone or in writing any internal or external examiner who is unable to attend the reconvened meeting of the Board.
- u. If, following review of its decision, the Board of Examiners is satisfied that there is no reason to amend its original decision the Executive Dean or his/her deputy/Chair will so inform the Academic Registrar in writing, giving the Board's reasons for reaffirming its original decision and its comments, if any, on the grounds for appeal stated by the student.
- v. If, following review of its decision, the Board of Examiners concludes that its original decision was wholly or partly incorrect to the extent that it decides on a new outcome, the Executive Dean or his/her deputy/Chair will so inform the Academic Registrar in writing and advise him/her of any amended mark or classification.
- w. The decision of the Board of Examiners following review will be communicated in writing to the student by the Academic Registrar stating the grounds for the decision. The communication of the decision shall in all cases constitute the formal conclusion of action taken in accordance with these procedures.

### **All Appeals**

- x. The Office of the Independent Adjudicator for Higher Education (OIA) provides an independent scheme for the review of student complaints or appeals. When the University's internal procedures for dealing with complaints and appeals have been exhausted, the University will issue a Completion of Procedures letter. Students wishing to avail themselves of the opportunity of an independent review by the OIA must submit their application to the OIA within twelve months of the issue of the Completion of Procedures letter. Full details of the scheme are available on request and will be enclosed with the Completion of Procedures.

### **Further information:**

- [Charter, Statutes and Ordinances](#)
- [University Regulations](#)
- [General Regulations, Academic Conduct](#) (pdf)
- [Late submission of Coursework](#)
- [Office of the Independent Adjudicator for Higher Education \(OIA\)](#)